LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

Office-Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
APR 7 1966
JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM 1965

No. 1195

HEXAGON LABORATORIES, INC.,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE UNITED STATES,

Appellee.

PETITION OF HEXAGON LABORATORIES, INC., FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF CLAIMS

BERNARD A. GROSSMAN
Attorney for Petitioner
1 Wall Street
New York, New York

BERNARD A. GROSSMAN
On the Brief

INDEX

PAGE

Opinion Below 1

Jurisdiction 2

Questions Presented 2

Statutes Involved 2

Statement of the Case 3

Reasons for Granting the Writ 5

1. The Government of the United States, even when it genuinely needs certain materials, should be held to a standard of reasonable conduct in satisfying such needs 5

2. The United States should not be permitted to cancel a contract for the sale of Government property without liability for damages to the purchaser when such contract has been cancelled unnecessarily 5

3. The decision below effectively nullifies important limitations upon the Sovereign Immunity Doctrine 7

   a. The Sovereign Immunity Doctrine does not apply when the Agency of the United States, the actions of which caused damage to a private party, is the same Agency which contracted with such party 7

   b. The Sovereign Immunity Doctrine does not apply when the action of the United States which has caused the loss is unreasonable 8

PAGE

c. The Sovereign Immunity Doctrine does not apply when the action of the United States which has caused the loss is not general in application but is directed solely at one or a very limited number of parties 9

4. The Strategic and Critical Materials Stockpiling Act, 50 U.S.C. §§98 et seq., does not give the United States Government the right to cancel a contract of sale without recourse to the other party who entered into the contract in good faith 10

CONCLUSION 12

APPENDIX A—Opinion and Order of Court of Claims 13

APPENDIX B—Pertinent Text 50 U.S.C. §§98, 98(a)(e) 14

APPENDIX C—Pertinent Text of Contract 21

Table of Authorities

Cases: PAGE

Appeal of Empire Gas Engineering Co., 1962 B.C.A. 17, 122 (Board of Contract Appeals, 1962) 8

Beuttas v. United States, 111 Ct. Cl. 532 (1948) 8

Freedman v. United States, 320 F. 2d 359 (Ct. Cl., 1963) 6

Horowitz v. United States, 267 U. S. 458 (1925) 7, 8

Lynch v. United States, 292 U. S. 571 (1934) 6

Metal Exports, Inc. v. United States, 137 Ct. Cl. 258 (1957) 8

Miller v. United States, 135 Ct. Cl. 1 (1956) 9

Ottinger v. United States, 116 Ct. Cl. 282 (1951) 8

Sunswick Corp. v. United States, 109 Ct. Cl. 772 (1948) 9

Statutes:

Strategic and Critical Materials Stockpiling Act, 50 U.S.C. §§98, 98(a)-(e) 2, 4, 5, 10, 11

28 U.S.C. 1255(1) 2

28 U.S.C. 1491(4) 3

Code of Federal Regulations, Title 13, Part 121.3-9, as amended 3

Other Authorities:

1946 U. S. Code Congressional Service 1290 11

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM 1965

No. .....

HEXAGON LABORATORIES, INC.,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE UNITED STATES,

Appellee.

PETITION OF HEXAGON LABORATORIES, INC., FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF CLAIMS

Hexagon Laboratories, Inc., (hereinafter referred to as "petitioner" or "plaintiff"), the plaintiff below, prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the opinion and order of the United States Court of Claims entered in the above case on January 7, 1966.

Opinion Below

The opinion and order of the United States Court of Claims with respect to which petitioner here seeks certiorari is dated January 7, 1966. It grants the motion of The United States (hereinafter sometimes referred to as "defendant"), the defendant below, for summary judgment and dismisses the petition of the plaintiff.

The opinion and order is as yet unreported and is printed at Appendix A.